UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,663	09/22/2005	Simon Wilson	915-011.005	9232
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5			EXAMINER	
			GONZALEZ, AMANCIO	
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/521,663	WILSON, SIMON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AMANCIO GONZALEZ	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or pers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access the term are subjected to be a subject to the second are subjected to by the Examine 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access the second are subjected to by the Examine 10. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access the second are subjected to by the Examine 10.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction of the cor						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/31/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/521,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Applicant's Pre-Brief Conference request filed on 10/31/2007. Claims 1-16 and 20 are still pending in the present application. This action is made NON-FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 14-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halkosaari et al. (US 20020193136 A1), hereafter "Halkosaari," in view of Hill et al. (US 6453155 B1), hereafter "Hill," further in view of Hsu et al. (US PGPub 20030083094), hereafter "Hsu."

Consider claims 1, 16 and 20, Halkosaari discloses a mobile electronic device providing an engine assembly –reads: telephone circuitry (see fig. 1, element 25)-

Art Unit: 2617

comprising a display and switches (see fig. 2, elements 44 and 46); and a *changeable* cover overlying, without an intermediate rigid cover, and snugly fitting the engine assembly and comprising an integrated keypad (see par. 0021, where Halkosaari describes the keypad being a unitary flexible polymer structure), for user input, aligned with the plurality of switches (see fig. 2).

Halkosaari clearly discloses a mobile telephone comprising an engine assembly with a plurality of switches as stated above and as shown in fig. 2, but does not particularly refer to a flexible cover. Hill teaches a flexible cover (see col. 1 lines 66-67, col. 2 lines 1-40, figs. 1-5, where Hill discusses a mobile communication device, i.e., a cell phone, with a flexible cover without an intermediate portion between the engine assembly and the flexible cover).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Halkosaari and have it include a flexible cover, as taught by Hill, thereby providing means for the motivation of producing an enclosure for portable communication apparatus being flexible enough to be easily formed into a variety of shapes in order to provide the maximum in user-personalized expererience, as discussed by Hill (see pars. col. 1 lines 4-14).

The combined references of Halkosaari and Hill teach a mobile telephone comprising an engine assembly with a plurality of switches and a flexible cover, but do not particularly refer to said flexible cover made of leather or fabric. Hsu teaches a flexible cover made of leather or fabric (see pars. 0006 and 0007).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Halkosaari as modified by Hill and have it include a flexible cover made of leather or fabric, as taught by Hsu, thereby providing means for the motivation of producing an enclosure for portable communication apparatus having a skin made of soft material, such as fabric or leather in order to eliminate the hard and cold feel off the enclosure, as discussed by Hsu (see pars. 0002, 0005).

Consider claim 2, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein the cover defines a cavity for receiving the engine assembly and has an insertion aperture through the material to the cavity through which the engine assembly can fit (see Halkosaari: par. 0018, fig. 2, where Halkosaari shows that an insertion aperture for receiving the engine assembly can be seen after top shell 10 and bottom shell 12 of the housing are separated).

Consider claim 3, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 2 and Halkosaari further teaches means for closing or restricting the insertion aperture after the engine assembly has been inserted (see Halkosaari: pars. 0016, 0026; figs. 1, 4A and 4B).

Consider claim 4, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein the flexible cover comprises an aperture aligned with the display (see Halkosaari: par. 0020, fig. 2, wherein the display interface 16 is shown as a substantially clear port 76, which is aligned with the assembly engine display).

Consider claim 5, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Hsu further teaches a plurality of fabric or leather portions attached to the substrate and/or each other (see Hsu: par. 0009).

Consider claim 6, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 5 and Halkosaari further teaches an overlapping portion on the cover (see Halkosaari: fig. 4B, element 150).

Consider claim 7, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 6 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein portions of the underlying substrate of the mobile phone are exposed (see Halkosaari: fig. 2, elements 22, and 54, where Halkosaari shows the power interface, as part of the underlying substrate is exposed through an opening in the bottom of the cover).

Consider claim 8, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein the integrated keypad has a plurality of key portions on an exterior surface of the flexible cover and a plurality of corresponding projections on the interior surface of the flexible cover, each of said projections being arranged to actuate one of the plurality of switches of the engine assembly (see Halkosaari: par. 0015, fig. 2).

Consider claim 9, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein the flexible cover and the engine assembly have corresponding projections and receptacles for aligning the flexible cover correctly with the engine assembly (see Halkosaari: par. 0018; fig. 2).

Consider claim 10, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Hsu further teaches wherein the flexible cover is made from fabric, elastically deformable material, or leather (see Hsu: pars. 0002, 0005).

Consider claim 11, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Hill further teaches wherein the flexible cover is substantially formed from one-piece of material (see Hill: col. 1 lines 66-67, col. 2 lines 1-40, figs. 1-5).

Consider claim 14, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Hill further teaches wherein wherein the flexible cover for a mobile telephone has a molded substrate adjacent the engine assembly (see Hill: col. 1 lines 66-67, col. 2 lines 1-40, figs. 1-5).

Consider claim 15, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1 and Halkosaari further teaches wherein the flexible cover of a mobile telephone is user replaceable (par 0002; figs. 2, 4A, and 4B).

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halkosaari et al. (US 20020193136 A1), hereafter "Halkosaari," in view of Hill et al. (US 6453155 B1), hereafter "Hill," further in view of Hsu et al. (US PGPub 20030083094), hereafter "Hsu," as applied to claim 1, further in view of Mitchel (US Pat 6082535), hereafter "Mitchel."

Consider claim 13, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1, but does not particularly refer to the flexible cover for a mobile telephone having an elastic and waterproof substrate adjacent the engine assembly. Mitchel teaches a protective

Application/Control Number: 10/521,663 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

waterproof cover permanently adhered to the substrate of the engine assembly (see col. 3, lines 44-47, 60-64). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu and have it include a protective waterproof cover permanently adhered to the substrate of the engine assembly, thereby providing means for the motivation of producing an impermeable protective sealing to cover the electronic circuits under the removable flexible cover of a mobile telephone.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halkosaari et al. (US 20020193136 A1), hereafter "Halkosaari," in view of Hill et al. (US 6453155 B1), hereafter "Hill," further in view of Hsu et al. (US PGPub 20030083094), hereafter "Hsu," as applied to claim 1, further in view of of Badillo et al. (US Pat 6729518), hereafter "Badillo."

Consider **claim 12**, Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu teaches claim 1, but does not particularly refer to a cell phone cover with overlapping members or limbs. Badillo discloses cell phone covers with overlapping members or limbs (see abstract and figs. 1-14). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Halkosaari as modified by Hill and Hsu and have it include a cell phone cover with overlapping members or limbs, as taught by Badillo, thereby providing means for conveniently protecting handheld electronic devices, as discussed by Badillo (see col. 1 lines 15-67 and col. 2 lines 1-14).

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Amancio González, whose telephone number is (571) 270-1106. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez can be reached at (571) 272-7915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,663 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Amancio González AG/ag

March 3, 2008

/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617